

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-8289-1997
Decided on :13.02.2024

Ashwani Kumar and others
Versus
State of Punjab and others
... Petitioners
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
PRESENT: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. S. S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, prohibition or any other suitable writ, order or directions prohibiting the school and management of respondent No. 2-School from charging compulsory admission fee, building fee and even annual fee and other charges.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present case pertains to the year 1997 and since, no interim order has been passed, thus, in all likelihood, nothing survives in the matter and thus, the present petition in all probability has been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is not in touch with the petitioners and thus, the present petition in all probability has been rendered infructuous. He further prays that liberty be granted to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the present petition, in case any cause survives.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the same in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

13.02.2024
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	Yes /No