

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:032044-DB
CWP-5349-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.03.2024

Jugsir Singh
Vs.
Union Territory Chandigarh and others

...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present Mr. Bikramjit Singh Patwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Standing Counsel assisted by
Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. On being informed by Sr. Standing Counsel for UT Chandigarh that a notification is being issued today whereby sub-Section (2) of Section 5 read with Section 22 of the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952, has been revoked for framing new rules relating to the Chandigarh Rural Inhabitations (Area within Red Line of Revenue Estates, Abadi Areas) Construction and Reconstruction Building Bye Laws for villages (both within and outside Municipal Limits) falling in Union Territory of Chandigarh, Rules, 2017, learned counsel for the petitioner prays to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to challenge the rules, if need so arises.
2. Granting him liberty, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
3. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

06.03.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No