

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

[126]

CWP-5374-2024
Date of Decision: 06.03.2024

ROHTASH AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Ms.Sumitra, Advocate for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional A.G. Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana does not oppose the making of a mandamus upon the authorities concerned, seized with *Annexure P-2*, to decide the same in a time bound manner.
2. Accordingly, a mandamus is made upon the competent authorities concerned, seized with *Annexure P-2*, to make a speaking decision thereon, but most expeditiously and after hearing all affected persons concerned.
3. Furthermore, since it is stated at the bar by the learned counsel for the petitioners that even in the statutory appeal, an application has been filed, seeking the staying of the operation of the verdict challenged before the Appellate Court, but he further submits that, no order has been passed thereons. Consequently, on the said application, a valid decision be made within two weeks from today, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.
4. Till a decision is made on the said application, thereupto, the parties are directed to maintain *status quo*, as of today, in respect of the

5. There is a noticeable spate of litigations which arise from sheer indolence on the part of the Appellate Authorities concerned, in their making prompt decisions upon the relevant applications filed within the statutory appeals, thus, seeking stay of the operation of the apposite impugned verdicts. Therefore, the Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue) to Govt. of Haryana, is directed to ensure that the said spate of litigations becomes ebbed, through directions being made to the competent Appellate Authorities concerned, seized with the apposite applications, which are filed by the aggrieved, whereby, they seek stay of the operation of the judgments which are challenged therebefore, thus, to make most expeditious decisions thereons.
6. In consequence, therebys all the competent Appellate Authorities concerned, who are seized with such applications, thus, are directed to, but within a period of two weeks from theirs become so instituted, hence pass lawful speaking decision thereons.
7. All pending application(s), if any, is disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

March 06, 2024
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No