

306

2024:PHHC:054976

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12043-2024

Date of decision:23.04.2024

VISHAL CHAWLA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate and
Mr. N.C. Kinra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Himanshu, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.14 dated 28.01.2013 registered under Sections 448, 379, 34 of IPC at Police Station Division No.4, District Jalandhar on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.3- Jatinder Singh against the petitioner.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.3 and 4 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.
6.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.
7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondents No.3 and 4 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.
8.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.
9.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.14 dated 28.01.2013 registered under Sections 448, 379, 34 of IPC at Police Station Division No.4, District Jalandhar and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

23.04.2024

Priyanka Thakur