

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH  
\*\*\*

CWP-5434-2024  
Date of decision : 07.03.2024

Ram Niwas and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr.Manan Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms.Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to give joining to the petitioners as the petitioners were already recommended at Sr.no.9 and 10.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners would give a representation to the competent authority of respondent no.1 and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.
3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representation and

decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representation which would be filed by the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of said representation and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of representation.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

March 07, 2024  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |