

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6535 of 1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2024

Rajesh Bhagat and others
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR


Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.
Mr. Puneet Kansal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioners on the last date of hearing i.e. 08.07.2024 and notice was ordered to be issued to Sh. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioners for today.

As per office report, notice has been issued to Sh. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioners, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners today as well.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the revised pay scales w.e.f. 01.01.1996 as per Notification No.7/1/97-FPI/314, dated 16.01.1998.



In the written statement filed by respondents No.1 and 4, it has been stated as under:-

“8. Admitted to the extent that the respondent No.2 had sent the case to respondent No.4 for his approval and the respondent No.4 has accorded approval for the grant of revised pay scales to the petitioners as recommended by the respondent No.2.”

XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX

Thus, in view of the submissions made above and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have been paid the revised pay scales the writ petition has become infructuous and is liable to be dismissed as such.”

In view of the stand taken by respondents No.1 and 4 in the written statement, which has been reproduced above, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

31.07.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No