

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5644-2024

Date of decision: 11.03.2024

Davinder Kaur Dhillon

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Gagan Pradeep Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the two communications dated December 2019 (Annexure P-2) and September 2020 (Annexure P-4) and order of Guru Nanak Dev University dated 19.07.2021 (Annexure P-5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was never associated with any committee when order dated 19.07.2021 (Annexure P-5) was passed. It is further submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given representation dated 21.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) and she would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.3-University is directed to consider the said representation dated 21.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound

manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.3-University to consider representation dated 21.12.2023 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.3-University would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

11.03.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No