



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP No. 5983 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 23.10.2024

Harbans Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents on instructions from Ms. Manjit Kaur, Senior Assistant, submits that in the present petition, the challenge was to the ad-hoc promotion granted to the private respondents and as of now, the petitioner as well as the private respondents have already superannuated and as per the reply filed, the petitioners were also granted the benefit of promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-II, which is equivalent to the post of Naib Tehsildar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to agitate the claim by availing appropriate remedy in case, the statement is found to be incorrect.
3. Ordered accordingly.



4. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 23, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No