

**CWP-648-1999 (O&M)****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****206****CWP-648-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.08.2024****Rajender Singh Chahal****...Petitioner(s)****Versus****The Government of Haryana and another****...Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA****Present:-** Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rohit Arya, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a direction to promote the petitioner as Lecturer with effect from 11.07.1985, instead of 01.01.1987, with all ancillary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who was working as S.S. Master, acquired qualification of M.A. in Hindi on 17.07.1973, and became entitled to be promoted as Lecturer. The respondents, however, granted him promotion with effect from 01.01.1987, though his juniors were promoted from 11.07.1985. Accordingly, the petitioner is entitled to promotion from the date his juniors had been promoted.

3. Learned State counsel has referred to the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents to contend that the petitioner's representation to promote him as Lecturer with effect from 11.07.1985 has been rejected. He was



considered to have been rightly promoted with effect from 01.01.1987, since no person junior to him had been promoted prior to that date.

4. There is no denial to these facts by the petitioner, nor has he placed the seniority list on record to establish that any of his juniors has been promoted as Lecturer prior to 01.01.1987.

5. In view of the undisputed aforementioned facts, there is no merit in the petitioner’s claim.

6. Dismissed.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

07.08.2024
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No