



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

207

CWP-7498-2000 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 18.09.2024

Deep Kumar Sood and others ....Petitioners

V/S

The Punjab State Electricity Board and others ....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shazia K. Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners have filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent-Board to fix the seniority of the petitioners.

2. Since there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners and respondent No.2 on the last date of hearing i.e. 14.08.2024, notices were ordered to be issued to the petitioners and respondent No.2 as well as Sh. Dinesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, counsel for respondent No.2.

3. As per office report, notices issued to the petitioners and respondent No.2 have been received back with the following report :-

|                  |   |                      |
|------------------|---|----------------------|
| “Petitioner No.1 | - | Awaited              |
| Petitioner No.2  | - | Refused              |
| Petitioner No.3  | - | Locked, Not residing |



CWP-7498-2000 (O&M)

-2-

Petitioner No.4 - Retired  
Petitioner No.5 - Retired  
Respondent No.2 - Retired”

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that during the pendency of the present petition, petitioner No.3, qua whom notice of motion was issued, has retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.07.2007 and respondent No.2 has also retired in the year 2017 and with the efflux of time, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

18.09.2024

*kothiyal*

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No