

MONIKA to be HR-38-X-1080. Later, on supplementary statements of respondents
2024.03.30 11:30
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

no.1 and 3 (father and sister of the deceased), it was mentioned that in the said accident, bus No.HR-38-X-1080 was not involved. Rather, a bus bearing No.HR-38-AA-0098, running behind bus No.HR-38-X-1080 was involved.

3. Claim petition under Section 166 read with Section 140 of the Motor Vehicles Act was filed by respondents no.1 to 3, seeking compensation of Rs.80 lakhs and Rs.50,000/- on account of no-fault liability.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that initially the bus bearing registration No.HR-38-X-1080 was wrongly mentioned to be involved as offending vehicle and later on, number of the bus was changed to HR-38-AA-0098. Challan is also filed in the Criminal Court that bus No.HR-38-AA-0098 was involved in the accident. At the fag end of proceedings before the learned Tribunal, an application has been moved by the Insurance Company to produce the documents of bus No.HR-38-X-1080 by the petitioner, being owner of the said bus, which has been allowed.

5. I have heard the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the case file.

6. No doubt, initially in the FIR, it was mentioned that bus bearing registration No.HR-38-X-1080 caused the accident. Later on, on the statement of respondents no.1 and 3, number of bus was changed. Since the the said bus was initially mentioned in the statement of the complainant, on which FIR was registered, so documents pertaining to bus No.HR-38-X-1080 were necessary for just decision of the case. Since the documents

pertain to the petitioner, no prejudice would be caused to the petitioner if

same are produced on record.

7. In view of the above discussion, no illegality or perversity is found in the impugned order dated 03.02.2024 passed by learned Tribunal so as to warrant interference at the hands of this Court. Accordingly, this revision petition is found to be without any merit and the same is accordingly dismissed.

8. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of along with this judgment.

March 18, 2024
monika

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.