

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP-2070-2024

Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Sunita and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 22 years and petitioner No.2, aged about 24 years, got married on 01.03.2024, against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala to look into their representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. At this stage, Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate has entered appearance and filed his memorandum of appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 which is taken on record.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala to look into the representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

05.03.2024
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No