

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-12267-2024

Date of decision: 24.04.2024

NAVJOT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mayur, Advocate for  
Mr. M.S. Bindra, Advocate  
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of anticipatory bail, in FIR No.249 dated 18.12.2023, under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali Patiala, District Patiala.
2. On 11.03.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner made a statement before this Court, that the petitioner is ready and willing to settle the dispute, and is also ready to make a payment of Rs.2,00,000/-, through demand draft, in favour of the complainant, with the registry of this Court.
3. On the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had directed the parties to cause their appearance before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, and also directed the petitioner to deposit a sum

of Rs.2,00,000/- by way of demand draft, before the Registry of this Court.

4. Today it is informed by learned counsel for the complainant that the petitioner had not deposited the demand draft with the Registry of this Court, nor the petitioner has caused his appearance before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Learned counsel for the complainant further submits that in fact the petitioner has given a cheque of Rs.2,00,000/- to the complainant with the rider that it shall not be presented before the bank.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions in this regard.

6. It seems that the above statement was just a ploy, to have order in his favour, rather the petitioner has no intention at all to comply with the undertaking, as given by him to this Court.

7. Considering the conduct of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the extra-ordinary relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. The instant petition is **dismissed**.

9. Since this Court has already dismissed the instant petition, therefore, the complainant is directed to return the cheque, issued by the petitioner, within 07 days from today.

24.04.2024  
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No