

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212 CWP-5817-1998
Date of Decision: 14.03.2024

NARESH CHAND Petitioner

Versus

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT-I, FARIDABAD AND ANR

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner-Naresh Chand (workman) has filed the present writ petition by challenging the award dated 27.08.1996(Annexure P-3) passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, Faridabad, whereby reference No.43 of 1992 has been partly answered in his favour by observing as under:

‘Resultantly this issue is decided against the claimant. It is held that he is not entitled to any relief, on the basis of this reference. The management has made an offer to give him a job without back wages as their case is that he is still continuing on the rolls and they are still ready to allow him on his duty. He may join the duty without back wages and without other benefits of service, if he so desires. The award is passed and the reference is answered accordingly.’

2. Perusal of the paper-book reveals that the present writ petition is pending adjudication since the year 1998 (for the last about 26 years).
3. Despite being informed, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. In the absence of the petitioner, this Court is unable to decide, as to whether, with the efflux of time, any substantial issue for its adjudication , survives or not.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. However, petitioner will be at liberty to move an application for revival of the present writ petition within eight weeks from today, in case any substantial issue warranting its decision, still survives.

March 14, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no