

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CM-4264-CWP-2024 in/&
CWP-5238-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.03.2024

Avneesh Kumar and Others
....Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and Others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

CM-4264-CWP-2024

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same stands allowed. Main case is preponed and taken on board today itself.

CWP-5238-2024

1. The present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the service benefits of the petitioners of the service rendered by them with respondent No.2.
2. Learned counsel would contend that the petitioners who were working as Assistant Professors on contract basis from the year 2015 and 2017, were relieved from the services in 2022. Their grievance is that less of their salary was paid to them in October, 2022 and an amount of Rs.50,809/- was also deducted from gratuity of petitioner No.1. In this regard, a legal notice dated 20.11.2023, Annexure P-7, has been served upon respondents, which has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are sanguine of it being considered in a positive manner, in case,

direction is given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner by granting them an opportunity of hearing.

3. Notice of motion.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
5. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.11.2023, Annexure P-7, taking note of the pleas raised, within a period of 6 months and if found entitled, necessary benefits be granted to the petitioners forthwith. However, in the eventuality of the relief being denied, a speaking order be passed, after associating them therewith

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.03.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No

:

Yes / No