

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(132)

CWP-5160-2024

Date of decision: - 04.03.2024

Ravinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash adverse remarks recorded in the ACR of the petitioner for the period 01.04.2013 to 30.01.2014 conveyed vide letter dated 29.04.2014 (Annexure P-1), rejection order dated 07.08.2014 (Annexure P-3) and the rejection order dated 10.11.2021 (Annexure P-5).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the department, in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above-said statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 04, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No