

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

2024:PHHC:007987

RSA-299-1994
Date of decision: 20.01.2024

RAM PARKASH ..Appellant

Versus

SURJIT KAUR & ANR ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Amit Jain, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Chetan Salathia, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The learned Senior counsel representing the respondents submits that he has got telephonic instructions from the respondent Sh. Ranjit grand-son of Smt. Surjit Kaur that the tenant had vacated the premises and the property had further been sold and therefore, the present appeal is rendered infructuous.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. However, the appellant shall have liberty to file an application for revival, if, the facts are otherwise.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 20th, 2024

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No