

110
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5604-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 11.03.2024

Vijay Adhana and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to decide the objections raised by the petitioners against the tentative Seniority list (Annexure P-7).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners had served a legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-9) to respondents No.1 and 2 but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-9) is considered and decided by respondent No.1 and 2 in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Samarth Sagar, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. He submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, he has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 & 2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.01.2024 (Annexure P-9) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of two months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

11.03.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No