

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-5595-2024
Date of Decision: 11.03.2024

Kulwant Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to issue appointment letter to his son.
2. The husband of the petitioner was working with respondent as Sub-Inspector and he retired from service in 2003. During the course of service, he actively participated in anti-terrorist activities and he was injured in an encounter. The respondent appointed elder son of the petitioner under 10% quota. The said appointment was made in 2012 and husband of petitioner made a representation in 2013 seeking appointment of his other son. The respondent rejected the claim on the ground that his one son is already in service.

3. The petitioner is seeking appointment on compassionate ground. It is not a case of casualty whereas it is a case of injury. The husband of the petitioner retired in 2003 and got pension. The petitioner must be getting family pension. Her elder son has been appointed under 10% quota. The husband of the petitioner retired in 2003 and she has approached this Court seeking appointment for her second son in 2024. The object of all the compassionate appointments is to tide over the family from penury and prevent from being driven to destitution. The petitioner is getting family pension and her elder son has already secured job under 10% quota. In such circumstances and considering the fact the husband of the petitioner had retired in 2003 i.e. 23 years ago, this Court does not deem it appropriate to direct respondent authorities to consider case of petitioner for appointment of her younger son.

4. In the wake of above facts and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition *sans* merit and deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.03.2024
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>