

2024:PHHC:032785

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2065-2024
Date of decision:-19.04.2024**

AKANSHA AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Senior, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 7.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

1. The jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been invoked seeking a writ in the nature of *Mandamus* directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and their friends and relatives with further prayer for issuance of a direction to official respondents not to implicate the petitioners in a false criminal case at the instance of private respondents.

2. After interaction by this Court itself, petitioner No.1 in clear terms stated that she does not want to live with her parents and would stay in the company of petitioner No.2.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation dated 28.02.2024 has already been moved by the petitioners seeking protection before respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala but till date no action has been taken on the said representation.

4. Without going to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed off, with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated 28.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No