

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

220

RSA-2621-1994
Date of Decision:08.05.2024

PRITAM SINGH (RETIRED KANUNGO) ...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellant.

 Mr. Anil Bansal, DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the following report:-

*“Notice issued to the sole appellant for 8.5.2024 has been received back with the report DIED.
Letter No.2374 dated 19.02.2024 issued to the Ld. Counsel Sh. T.P.S. Tung representing the appellants is received back with the report that the client has taken the brief back long ago.”*

2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Similar was the position on the previous occasion. It seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives for consideration.

08.05.2024
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No