

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

2024:PHHC:030311

CR-1379-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.03.2024

RAJINDER KUMAR ..Petitioner
Versus
SUKHCHARANJIT SINGH THIND & ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The Rent Controller has permitted the landlord's building expert to enter the tenanted premises and give his report with regard to the fitness of building for human habitation. The correctness of the aforesaid order has been challenged by the petitioner (tenant).
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the landlord has already placed on record sufficient evidence and therefore, the application should not have been allowed.
3. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.
4. It is evident that the Rent Controller has passed the order after taking into consideration all aspects of the matter. The respondent landlord is required to prove that the building is unfit and unsafe for human habitation for evicting the tenant on the ground asserted. The building is in possession of the petitioner (tenant).
5. Hence, the expert nominated by the landlord has been permitted to enter the tenanted premises.
6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

7. Dismissed accordingly.
8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 04th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*