

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(232)

CWP-5375-2023

Date of Decision : February 16, 2024

Harvinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has been placed under suspension vide order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) and is not being reinstated in service.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner was suspended keeping in view the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him but during the pendency of the disciplinary proceedings, the petitioner has already been reinstated in service on 22.04.2023 hence, the present writ petition has already been rendered infructuous.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to controvert the said plea.

4. Keeping in view the fact that as the petitioner has already been reinstated in service during the pendency of the disciplinary proceedings, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

February 16, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No