

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

137

RSA-683-1991
Date of Decision: 07.03.2024.

CHUHARIA RAM
.....APPELLANT

VS

KUNDAN AND OTHERS
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 11.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

*“No one has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents despite completion of service through their counsel.
Let notice be issued to the respondents, returnable on 02.11.2023.”*

2. The case has been called twice. The learned counsel representing the appellant did not come forward to press this appeal. This is old appeal which is pending for the last 33 years. The plaintiff filed a suit for grant for specific performance of agreement to mortgage.

3. The trial Court did not grant decree for specific performance of agreement to mortgage but ordered the recovery of Rs.83,600/-. The aforesaid judgment and decree was affirmed in appeal.

4. This appeal has been filed by defendant No.1. It appears that he has lost interest in prosecuting this appeal. Hence, dismissed for non prosecution.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

07.03.2024

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No