

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

2024:PHHC:046577

RSA-2141-1994
Date of decision: 05.04.2024

BIJENDAR ..Appellant
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA & ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. J.S. Pannu, AAG, Haryana for respondent No.1 and 2.
Mr. Aadarsh Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. On instructions from Sh. Sujal Rawat, Gram Sachiv, Village Aurangabad, Tehsil Hodal, District Palwal, Haryana, the learned counsel representing the State of Haryana has submitted that the present regular second appeal is rendered infructuous as the appointment of Sh. Bijendar Singh son of Sh. Karay and Sh. Peeta son of Sh. Dulli were set aside by the First Appellate Court while directing the State to carry out appointment. Subsequently, Sh. Peeta was appointed as Nambardar. He has died and thereafter, two more persons have been appointed as Nambardar.
2. The learned counsel representing the appellant does not dispute the correctness of the aforesaid assertion made by the learned counsel representing the State of Haryana.
3. Hence, the present appeal is dismissed as infructuous.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

April 05th, 2024 (ANIL KSHETARPAL)
Ay JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No