

RAJBIR SINGH AND ANOTHER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Dinesh Saini, Advocate for the respondents No. 2 to 4.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioners pray for quashing of case bearing FIR No.11 dated 13.01.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC at P.S. Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar, along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 26.02.2024.

2. As per allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners committed fraud with complainants-respondents No.2 to 4 by duping them of Rs.29,00,000/- on the pretext of repaying their loan and transferring the vehicles in the name of respondents No.2 to 4.

3. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 05.03.2024 directed the parties to appear before trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of the compromise arrived at between them.

4. In pursuance to the order dated 05.03.2024, a report dated 20.03.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that the compromise in the present case is genuine and voluntary.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondents No.2 to 4 have no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; the dispute purely personal in nature, there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR. He also submits that even, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that allegations in the present FIR are of serious nature and he opposes the prayer made in the petition.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the records including the report dated 20.03.2024. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the directions issued by this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR(Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***".

8. Thus, in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 11 dated 13.01.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC at P.S. Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar along with all consequential proceeding arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

9. Accordingly, petition stands, allowed, however subject to providing three wheelchairs to the Civil Hospital, Panipat within a period of two weeks from today as volunteered by the petitioner against due receipt issued by the concerned Civil Surgeon, who shall prepare an inventory in this regard for its regular inspection by the Director concerned. A copy of the receipt shall also be sent to the office of Advocate General, Haryana at the earliest for maintaining records in this regard.

23.04.2024
Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>