



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

237

COCP-781-2024**Date of decision: 06.05.2024**

GURPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Armaan Saggar, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate and
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh, with
Mr. Ankur Bali, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondent for disobeying the order dated 18.12.2023 passed in CWP-28452-2023.
2. Affidavit of Anindita Mitra, IAS, Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Sector 17, Chandigarh, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.
3. Short reply of Kanwardeep Kaur, IPS, SSP, U.T. Chandigarh, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.



COCp-781-2024

-2-

4. Counsel for the respondents submit that the order dated 18.12.2023 passed in CWP-28452-2023 has since been complied with and speaking order dated 24.04.2024 (Anneuxre R-2) has been passed.
5. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
7. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

06.05.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No