

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

2024:PHHC:034905

1. RSA-1780-1994
Date of decision: 12.03.2024

PUNJAB & SIND BANK ..Appellant

Versus

RAJINDER SINGH & ANR ..Respondents

2. RSA-2808-1996

PUNJAB & SIND BANK ..Appellant

Versus

JAGJIT SINGH & ORS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Vishavjeet S. Beniwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1 (in RSA-2808-1996).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

RSA-1780-1994

1. Despite intimation, the learned counsel representing the appellant is not present.
2. This is an old appeal, which is listed after a period of 30 years. The appellant-Bank filed suit for recovery of Rs.59,587/-, which was decreed along with the future interest. The First Appellate Court has modified the decree only to the extent that the future interest shall be payable on the principal amount and not the decretal amount.
3. It appears that with the passage of time, the Bank has lost interest in prosecuting the appeal.
4. Hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.

RSA-1780-1994&
RSA-2808-1996

RSA-2808-1996

5. Despite intimation, the learned counsel representing the appellant is not present.
6. This is an old appeal, which is pending for the last 28 years. The appellant-Bank has filed a suit for recovery of Rs.2,27,209.26/-. The suit was decreed along with the future interest at the rate of 15.10% with quarterly rests. The First Appellate Court has held that defendant No.3 is not liable to pay the amount. The decree for recovery of the amount against defendant No.1 and 2 shall maintained.
7. It appears that the appellant is no longer interest in prosecuting the appeal.
8. Hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.
9. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 12th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No