

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

125

CWP-5081-2024 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 04.03.2024.

SUCHA SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate,
(Through Video Conference)
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed for seeking quashing of the sanction office order No.42 dated 19.08.2019 vide which meager compensation is alleged to have been granted to the petitioner on account of death of his minor daughter due to electrocution.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Operation Division, Dakshin Haryana

Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Vidyut Sadan, Hisar, to decide the representation dated 23.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) sent by the petitioner by passing a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate, enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and he has no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Operation Division, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Vidyut Sadan, Hisar, to consider the representation dated 23.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) filed by the petitioner and to pass a speaking and reasoned order thereupon in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 04, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No