



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

RSA-1515-1994

Decided on :30.08.2024

State of Punjab

... Appellant

Versus

Rajinder Parshad

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
for the appellant.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in all probability, the respondent would have retired and in spite of the best efforts, she has not been able to get in touch with the respondent and thus, she is not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date. It is further submitted that there was no stay order granted in favour of the State.

2. Learned State counsel has submitted that in view of the above, the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

30.08.2024

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No*