

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-17279-2018
Date of decision: 19.04.2024

GAGANDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Amar Jit Singh Virk, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, under Section 482 Cr.P.C, prayer is made for issuance of directions upon respondents No.1 to 4, to take appropriate legal action against respondent No.5, who is trying, and making an attempt to forcibly take away the vehicle of the petitioner, bearing registration No.PB-09-X-6981, with the help of recovery agents, despite the fact that the petitioner is regularly paying the loan EMI amount.
2. It transpires from the perusal of the petition, that respondent No.5 has extended the loan for purchase of the vehicle.
3. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for respondent No.5, had filed a reply dated 16.02.2024, and perusal of the said reply reveals that there is a default in making payment of EMI, on the part of the petitioner, which led the petitioner to surrender

the vehicle to the financing company, and thereupon, that vehicle was further sold by the financing company, and in fact a sum of Rs.26,92,328.93/- is still outstanding against the petitioner. The recovery proceedings are being initiated against the present petitioner.

5. In view of the above supervening events, which has been mentioned by respondent No.5 in the reply (supra), no further direction is required to be passed by this Court.

6. **Disposed** of accordingly.

19.04.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No