



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

CWP No.649 of 2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.10.2024

S.S. Pannu and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Chanderdeep Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate
for Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Varinder Singh, Advocate
for Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for respondents No.6, 8, 9 and 12.

Mr. Ashish Kaushik, Advocate
for Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.11.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent – authorities to grant the same pay scale of Rs.700-1200 and Rs.700-1600 from the respective deemed dates by giving notional benefits in fixation of pay to the petitioners as has been allowed to their counterparts working in the Government Colleges.

2024.PHHC:134276



As per the office report, petitioner No.1 has been served and petitioners No.2 to 5 and 7 to 10, have retired from service and petitioner No.6 has died.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite her best efforts, she has not been able to get further instructions from the petitioners.

In this view of the matter, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition in case any cause still survives.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No