

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2033-2024

Date of Decision: 04.03.2024

Sapna and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 28.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioners by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners. Requisite copies of the petition

have already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, District Palwal to look into the representation dated 28.02.2024 (Annexure P-3) and in case he finds any threat perception to the petitioners, appropriate steps be taken to protect their life and liberty.

04.03.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no