

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-7538-2024
Date of Decision: 04.04.2024

Mohan Lal ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Vishal Garg, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to issue him family pension.
2. The father of the petitioner was working with respondent. He retired on 30.06.1993 and passed away on 30.04.2011. He was survived by spouse and son (petitioner). The mother of the petitioner was getting family pension and she passed away on 24.11.2019. The petitioner claims that he is physically handicapped and was totally dependent upon parents. He has filed representations dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-7) before respondents to consider his case for family pension.

3. Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed if the respondents are directed to consider and decide his representation dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-7).
4. Mr. Vishal Garg, Senior Panel Counsel for Union of India-respondents assures the Court that competent authority would look into the representation dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.
5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide representation dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner within three months from today.
6. It is made clear that while disposing of present petition, the question of delay has not been considered and is left open.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.04.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No