

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

RSA-2099-1991
Decided on :18.04.2024

Municipal Committee, Narnaul
Versus
Chhima Devi and another
... Appellant
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ravi Ambawata, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 17.02.2020 and the Coordinate Bench of this Court had issued notice to the appellant through the concerned Civil Judge (Senior Division).
2. As per report of the registry, notice issued to the appellant has been received back served but none has appeared on behalf of the appellant.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

18.04.2024
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	Yes /No