

253

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

MOHIT

CRM-M-11260-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 08.11.2024

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Anoop Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the respondent No.2.


\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)**

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections        | Police Station               |
|---------|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| 287     | 03.05.2022 | 406 and 420 IPC | Rohtak City, District Rohtak |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak vide report dated 25.10.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited the cost of Rs.15000/- in compliance to the order dated 01.10.2024.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.287 dated 03.05.2022, under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC registered at Police Station Rohtak City, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

08.11.2024  
S.Sharma(syr)

|     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |