

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

114

LPA-607-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.03.2024

Ravit Chowdhry

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Akshay Chadha, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sukhvir Singh Sahu, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the contesting parties have arrived at an agreement on the basis whereof the instant appeal is being disposed of.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that in terms of the liberty granted by the learned Single Judge, respondent No.3 shall file, with advance notice to the appellant, along with an application for interim custody, a petition before the competent Family Court seeking therein custody of the minor child of the respective parties.

3. Mr. Sunil Chadha submitted that if the appellant is put to advance notice of the filing of the afore said petition, the appellant

undertakes to appear on the first date of the petition’s listing before the competent Family Court.

4. Within two weeks from the date of first listing of respondent No.3’s petition, the competent Family Court is directed to consider and decide at least respondent No.3’s prayer for interim custody, in accordance with law. Thereafter, respondent No.3’s petition shall be finally adjudicated upon within four months.
5. The afore decisions to be taken by the competent Family Court shall be uninfluenced by the findings recorded in the impugned order.
6. Disposed of.
7. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

07.03.2024
Sapna

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>