



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
201**

RSA-1160-1994(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 14, 2024

Punjab State Electricity Board

.... Appellant

Versus

Inderpal Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. Sachit Khurana, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submit that though, the operation of judgment and decree of the court below, which has been impugned in present appeal was stayed but, as the services of the respondent/plaintiff were terminated on the ground of his conviction, which conviction, had already been overturned in an appeal during the pendency of the present Regular Second Appeal and keeping in view the fact that the respondent-plaintiff has already been reinstated and has already retired from service. Hence, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

May 14, 2024

archana

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes
No**