

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

1. Regular Second Appeal No. 1052 of 1994

M/s Jai Bharat Steel Industries
... Appellant(s)

Versus

M/s Durga Steel Industries
... Respondent(s)

AND

2. Regular Second Appeal No. 1312 of 1997

M/s Durga Steel Industries
... Appellant(s)

Versus

M/s Jai Bharat Steel Industries
... Respondent(s)

DATE OF DECISION: 06.02.2004

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate
for the appellant(s) (In RSA-1052-1994) and
for the respondent (In RSA-1312-1997).

None for the respondent.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The learned counsel representing the appellant submits that despite his best efforts, the appellants in both the appeals could not be contacted, therefore, he is unable to inform the current status of the dispute.

2. It has been noticed that originally, the appellant in Regular Second Appeal No. 1052 of 1994 filed a suit for the recovery of an amount of ₹83,398/- which was dismissed by the trial Court, however, decreed by

**Regular Second Appeal No. 1052 of 1994 AND
Regular Second Appeal No. 1312 of 1997**

2024:PHHC:016043
2

the First Appellate Court for the recovery of ₹61,000/- with proportionate costs throughout. It appears that the parties are not interested in prosecuting both the appeals.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, both the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution with the liberty to revive the same if they feel aggrieved.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

February 06, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No