

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5248-2024
Date of decision: 05.03.2024

Ulfat Ali and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents for registration of the petitioners on the PNRC portal-respondent No.2 of the sessions pertaining to year 2022-2023 and to further permit the petitioners to fill up their supplementary examination forms for appearing in their supplementary examination of first year course of General Nursing and Midwifery, Diploma Course for the vacant seats as per the sanctioned strength of the Institute-respondent No.3.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners had given representation dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-10) and they would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.2 is directed to consider the said representation dated

27.02.2024 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-10), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-10), in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider the case of the petitioners independently, in accordance with law.

05.03.2024

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No