



**135 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1666-1991 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 14, 2024

Bhawan Sahai and another

... Appellants

Versus

Roshan Lal and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Notice to appellant No.1 has been received with the report that he has expired and that notice has been served through his son. Similarly, notice to appellant No.2 received with the report that he has expired, but notice has been served through his brother. Despite the fact that family members/LRs of two appellants have been notified about the pendency of this appeal, but none of them have appeared so as to move application to implead them as party to pursue the appeal.

In the view of the aforesaid circumstances, present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

May 14, 2024

sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No