

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5167-1999(O&M)  
Decided On: 30.07.2024

JAGDISH MITTER SHARMA

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate for  
Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.


Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a direction to the respondents to release the revised amount of pension, gratuity and leave encashment by enhancing the basic pay to ₹3200 with effect from 01.04.1993, with interest.

2. Learned State counsel by referring to the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents contends that the claim is not maintainable. The petitioner was working as Master in pay scale of ₹700-1150, which was revised to ₹1400-2600 with effect from 01.01.1986. However, inadvertently his pay in the revised pay scale was fixed as ₹2660, which was apparently wrong as the maximum admissible pay was ₹2600. Therefore, the mistake was corrected and the petitioner's pay was refixed vide office order 31.08.1993, Annexure P-2.



Resultantly, prior to retirement on 31.08.1993 his pay was ₹3100 as on 01.04.1993, and the retiral benefits were released to him on that basis.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner neither disputes the corrections made in the admissible pay scale, nor has been able to establish that the petitioner was entitled to higher pay as on 01.01.1986.

4. Consequently, there is no merit in the petition and it stands dismissed.

30.07.2024  
Ad

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |