

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

RSA-1644-1991

Decided on :22.08.2024

Nasib Kaur and others

... Appellants

Versus

Jaswant Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 03.07.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-


*“Present: Mr Pankaj Bains, Advocate for
Ms. Himani Sarin, Advocate, for the appellants.*

*Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate has caused appearance
on behalf of the appellants, and pleads no instructions. Let Court
notice be issued to the appellants for 22.8.2024.*

July 03,2024”

2. As per the report of the registry, appellant No.1 has died and
appellant No.2 has been duly served.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that no one
has contacted the office of the counsel for the appellants and inspite of his
best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the appellants and thus,



RSA-1644-1991 -2-

he is not in a position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the appellants/legal representatives to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.
4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

22.08.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	Yes /No