

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5192-2024**

**Date of decision: 13.03.2024**

M/s Triple-M Institute of Study Material & Online Classes Pvt. Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J.** (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate Order, Direction or Writ, especially in the nature of: MANDAMUS, thereby directing the respondents to refund the amount of Rs. 1,17,44,345 (One Crore Seventeen Lakhs Forty-Four thousand three hundred forty-five rupees only) along with 18% penal interest for causing huge financial losses as well as mental harassment to the petitioner, in the interest of justice.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with a legal notice dated 02.11.2023 (P-10). However, even though a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Thus, interest/rights of the petitioner are severely impacted.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. R.S. Khosla, learned Senior Advocate, along with Mr. Aman Sharma,

Advocate, and Mr. Yogender Verma, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions from Mr. Manpreet Singh, Superintendent, building branch, Estate Office, (GLADA), submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on its legal notice (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the authorized representative(s) of the petitioner shall be heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned Senior counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned Senior counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned Senior counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

13.03.2024  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes    |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |