

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

RSA-1467-1991

Decided on :08.08.2024

Ram Saran Dass and another

... Appellants

Versus

Deep Chand

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjay Majithia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sumit Sinha, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 15.05.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Sumit Sinha, Advocate
for the appellants.*


None for the respondents.

*Learned counsel for the appellants submits
that he has no instructions from the appellants in the
instant matter.*

*Since the instant appeal is of the year 1991,
let court notice be issued to the appellants, returnable on
06.08.2024.*

*A photocopy of this order be placed on the
files of the connected case.*

May 15,2024”



2. Notice was issued to the appellants and as per the report of the registry, both the appellants have died.
3. Learned Senior counsel for the appellants has submitted that inspite of the best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the LRs of the appellants nor the LRs have come forward to pursue the case and thus, he is not in position to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal as on date and hence, he prays that the present appeal may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the LRs of the appellants to revive the appeal, in case any cause of action survives.
4. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.
5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the parties on the addresses mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

08.08.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No