

138 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-1435-1991
Date of decision :01.04.2024

...Petitioner

**Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala
and others**

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Ram Lal Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Edward George, Advocate and
Ms. Simran Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. In this regular second appeal, the total dispute involved is Rs. 7,986/-. The aforesaid amount was sought to be recovered from the appellant on account of deficiency in deposit of service connection charges and security while getting the electric connection. Admittedly, the connection was disconnected on 15.06.1988. The suit filed by the plaintiff was dismissed by both the Courts below. The appeal has remained pending in this Court for the last 33 years.

2. Learned counsel representing the appellant has failed to disclose the current status of the industry, which was being run by the appellant. Learned counsel further admits that he was unable to contact the appellant. It appears that the appellant has lost interest in pursuing the appeal keeping in view the amount involved in the present case.

3. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No