

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No. 1966 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.03.2024

Hari Lata and another
..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent No. 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has pointed out that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case the representation dated 21.02.2024 (P-2) is considered and decided by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

[3] The above prayer seems to be justified.

[4] Notice of motion.

[5] Mr. Kewal Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who has already been on advance notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4, raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

[6] In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this petition is **disposed off** with a

direction to respondent No. 2 to look into the representation dated 21.02.2024 (P-2) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of eight (08) weeks from today.

March 01, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No