



CRM-M-11027-2024

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

[209]**CRM-M-11027-2024****Date of decision: 02.09.2024**

PARAMJIT SAINI

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.292 dated 14.06.2023 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 20.05.2024 while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation:-

“Learned State counsel on instructions has submitted that no doubt the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case, however, she in a pre-planned manner divorced her husband whose original name is Balwinder Singh and thereafter again solemnized marriage with him who by then had changed his name to Sanjay Bhatia.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner, in compliance of order dated 20.05.2024, has joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.



CRM-M-11027-2024

-2-

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed the submission made by the counsel opposite. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation. However, she has reiterated the allegations levelled in the FIR that the petitioner in a pre-planned manner divorced her husband whose original name is Balwinder Singh and thereafter again solemnized marriage with him who by then had changed his name to Sanjay Bhatia.”

5. In view of the above, present petition is allowed and interim order dated 20.05.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.PC.

6. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

September 02, 2024

*Anjal**

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No