

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213 (A)

CWP-4227-1998
Decided on :20.02.2024

B. C. Birdi

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Hoshiar Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, mandamus or any other suitable writ, order or direction as deemed fit or appropriate in the circumstances of the case to promote the petitioner as Superintending Engineer w.e.f. 25.07.1995, 25.09.1996/22.09.1997.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the matter is of the year 1998 and he is not in touch with his client and thus, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty be granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to him.
3. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

20.02.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No