2024:PHHC:113209

201+101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CM-13887-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-4747-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.08.2024

Madan Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the applicants/petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

*****

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

CM-13887-CWP-2024:

Prayer in the present application is for impleading the legal representatives of deceased-petitioners, i.e. Madan Kumar, Randhir Singh, Sunehri Devi, Sultan Singh and Patauri in the array of parties (in CWP-4747-1999).

Notice in the application.

At this stage, Ms. Upasana Dhawan, Assistant Advocate General, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants/respondents-State and submits that she has no objection in case prayer made in the instant application is accepted.

Keeping in view the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents-State as well as the reasons mentioned in the present application, the same is allowed and the persons, as

APURVA
2024.09.05 09:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
document/judgment
High Court, Chandigarh

named in paragraph No.2 of the present application, are impleaded as legal representatives of deceased-petitioners, i.e. Madan Kumar, Randhir Singh, Sunehri Devi, Sultan Singh and Patauri, in the array of parties (in CWP-4747-1999), only for the purpose of pursing the instant matter. Amended Memorandum of Parties is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Registry to do the needful.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CWP-4747-1999 (O&M):

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash order dated 09.07.1998 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 18.02.1999 (Annexure P-6) passed by the learned Financial Commissioner, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition as the same has become infructuous.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

4. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

31.08.2024 **(HARSH BUNGER)**
Apurva **JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No

APURVA
2024.09.05 09:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
document/judgment
High Court, Chandigarh